metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Shan Gao,^a* Ji-Wei Liu,^a Li-Hua Huo^a and Seik Weng Ng^b

^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: shangao67@yahoo.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.056 wR factor = 0.165 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis(triethanolamine)cobalt(II) benzene-1,4-dioxydiacetate

The title complex, $[Co(C_6H_{15}NO_3)_2](C_{10}H_8O_6)$, comprises a Co^{II} cation, coordinated centrosymmetrically in an octahedral N_2O_4 mode by two tridentate triethanolamine ligands, and a centrosymmetric benzene-1,4-dioxyacetate dianion. The ions are linked by hydrogen bonds into a supramolecular network.

Received 17 March 2004 Accepted 22 March 2004 Online 27 March 2004

Comment

Among transition metal complexes containing triethanolamine and organic acid ligands, triethanolamine functions either as a tridentate ligand, *e.g.* in Cu and Ni salts (Krabbes *et al.*, 1999, 2000), or as a tetradentate ligand, *e.g.* in an Mn salt (Andruh *et al.*, 1993). Recently, we reported the structure of the complex [Cu(triethanolamine)(imidazole)(H₂O)](ClO₄)-(C₁₀H₈O₆)_{0.5}, which incorporates benzene-1,4-dioxyacetate as a counterion (Gao *et al.*, 2004). Here, our study is extended to the title Co^{II} complex, [Co(C₆H₁₅NO₃)₂](C₁₀H₈O₆), (I), obtained from the assembly reaction of cobalt diacetate trihydrate, benzene-1,4-dioxyacetic acid and triethanolamine.



As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, the crystal structure of (I) reveals that the asymmetric unit comprises half of a mononuclear Co^{II} complex cation, $[\text{Co}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{15}\text{NO}_3)_2]^{2+}$, and half of a benzene-1,4-dioxyacetate dianion. Both the cation and anion lie on inversion sites.

The triethanolamine ligand is bonded in a tridentate chelating mode. This contrasts with the situation in the related Cu^{II} complex (Gao *et al.*, 2004), where the triethanolamine is coordinated in a tetradentate fashion. The Co^{II} cation is coordinated by four hydroxyl O atoms and two N atoms, so as to define a distorted octahedral configuration (Table 1). In the anion of (I), the acetate groups and the benzene ring are not coplanar, as seen in the C9-O6-C8-C7 torsion angle of 98.8 (4)°.

The uncoordinated hydroxy O atom forms an intermolecular hydrogen bond with a carboxyl O atom, resulting in the formation of a one-dimensional chain, with an $O \cdots O$ distance of 2.743 (5) Å and an $O-H \cdots O$ angle of 148°. Another description of the crystal structure of (I) is that in

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

3013 independent reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.026$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

 $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$

 $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

1996 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$



Figure 1

Displacement ellipsoid plots, at the 30% probability level, for (a) the $[Co(C_6H_{15}NO_3)_2]^{2+}$ cation in (I) and (b) the $[C_{10}H_8O_6]^{2-}$ anion in (I). [Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z].

which layers of anions alternate with layers of cations, the layers being linked *via* hydrogen bonds to give rise to a supramolecular network (Table 2, Fig. 2).

Experimental

Benzene-1,4-dioxyacetic acid was prepared by the method described for the synthesis of benzene-1,2-dioxyacetic acid (Mirci, 1990). Cobalt diacetate trihydrate (4.76 g, 20 mmol) and benzene-1,4-dioxyacetic acid (9.04 g, 40 mmol) were dissolved in water and the pH was adjusted to 7 with triethanolamine. Pink crystals of (I) separated from the filtered solution at room temperature over several days. CHN analysis: calculated for $C_{22}H_{38}N_2O_{12}Co$: C 45.44, H 6.59, N 4.82%; found: C 45.70, H 6.66, N 4.69%.

Crystal data

$[Co(C_6H_{15}NO_3)_2](C_{10}H_8O_6)$
$M_r = 581.47$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
a = 11.116 (2) Å
b = 10.480(2) Å
c = 11.369 (2) Å
$\beta = 94.88 \ (3)^{\circ}$
V = 1319.7 (4) Å ³
Z = 2

$$\begin{split} D_x &= 1.463 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \\ \text{Mo } K\alpha \text{ radiation} \\ \text{Cell parameters from 5364} \\ \text{reflections} \\ \theta &= 3.1-27.4^{\circ} \\ \mu &= 0.72 \text{ mm}^{-1} \\ T &= 293 \text{ (2) K} \\ \text{Block, pink} \\ 0.38 \times 0.27 \times 0.18 \text{ mm} \end{split}$$



Figure 2 The packing of (I).

Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*ABSCOR*; Higashi, 1995) *T*_{min} = 0.773, *T*_{max} = 0.882 5772 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1059P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.165$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.98	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
3013 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.05 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
182 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.48 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Co1-O1	2.068 (2)	Co1-N1	2.172 (3)
Co1-O2	2.103 (2)		
O1-Co1-O2	92.87 (9)	O2-Co1-N1	80.8 (1)
O1-Co1-N1	82.3 (1)		

Table 2

(

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$D1 - H1 \cdots O4^{ii}$	0.93	1.93	2.564 (4)	123
$D2-H2\cdots O5^{n}$	0.93	2.17	2.597 (3)	107
O3−H3···O4	0.82	2.01	2.743 (5)	148

Symmetry code: (ii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Two of the aminoethyl groups of the triethanolamine molecule are disordered over two sites, with assumed equal occupancy. Within each group, the pairs of C–O, N–C and C–C distances were restrained to be approximately equal. Additionally, the displacement parameters of the unprimed and primed atoms were set to be equal to each other. The distance restraints gave a model in which these distances are sensible. However, the model has an intramolecular H2'1···H6B contact of 1.65 Å. Attempts at using other distance restraints led to a wider spread of bond distances. H atoms bound to C and O atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C–H = 0.93 (aromatic) or 0.97 Å (aliphatic) and O–H = 0.82 Å (hydroxyl group), and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C, O)$, using a riding model approximation.

Data collection: *RAPID AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *RAPID AUTO*; data reduction: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/MSC, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97. The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20101003), Heilongjiang Province Natural Science Foundation (grant No. B0007), the Educational Committee Foundation of Heilongjiang Province, Heilongjiang University and the University of Malaya.

References

- Andruh, M., Hubner, K., Noltemeyer, M. & Roesky, H. W. (1993). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 48, 591–597.
- Gao, S., Liu, J.-W., Li, J.-R, Huo, L.-H. & Zhao, H. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m94–m95.
- Higashi, T. (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Krabbes, I., Seichter, W., Breuning, T., Otschik, P. & Gloe, K. (1999). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 625, 1562–1565.
- Krabbes, I., Seichter, W. & Gloe, K. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, e178.
- Mirci, L. E. (1990). Rom. Patent No. 07 43 205.
- Rigaku (1998). RAPID AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MSC (2002). CrystalStructure. Rigaku/MSC Inc., 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381-5209, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.